

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS**

**IN RE: Syngenta AG MIR162
Corn Litigation**

)
)
) **MDL No: 2591**

)
) **Case No: 14-md-2591-JWL-JPO**

(This Document Relates to all cases)

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

ORDER

Pursuant to the Conditional Transfer Orders filed in MDL 2591, In Re: Syngenta AG MIR162 Corn Litigation, cases have been transferred to the District of Kansas as tag-a-long actions in the pending MDL action. In some of those cases, Motions to Remand were filed in the transferor court prior to transfer to the District of Kansas and those motions are currently pending in this Court.

On February 4, 2015, the undersigned issued a Scheduling Order No. 1 (doc. #123) which sets forth a schedule regarding motion practice as it relates to remand on the allegation that a federal question exists under the so-called “foreign relations doctrine” and other challenges to subject matter jurisdiction. A Motion to Remand Cases Removed Under the Federal Common Law of Foreign Relations (doc. 283) (“Consolidated Motion to Remand”), applicable to all cases which are part of this MDL, was filed on March 2, 2015. Oral argument on the Consolidated Motion to Remand is currently scheduled for April 27, 2015 at

10:00 am. in Courtroom #643, Robert J. Dole United States Courthouse, 500 State Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas. Only counsel designated by Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs will be permitted to present argument. Others who are interested may listen by telephone pursuant to instructions to be issued at a later date.

The Court intends to rule promptly on the Consolidated Motion to Remand. If the motion is granted, it will be applicable to all similarly situated cases and suggestions of remand will be prepared after consultation with Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs and Counsel for Defendants. In the event the Court denies the Consolidated Motion to Remand, it will issue an Order to Show Cause why the ruling should not be applicable to all tag-a-long cases. In response to such an order to show cause counsel for the parties in the tag-a-long cases may make any argument not previously raised and ruled on in the Consolidated Motion to Remand which they have preserved in their briefing on the motions to remand which were filed in the transferor court before transfer to this MDL.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 10th day of April, 2015 at Kansas City, Kansas.

s/ John W. Lungstrum

JOHN W. LUNGSTRUM

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE